Plant Archives Vol. 25, Supplement 1, 2025 pp.2852-2863 e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org
DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.394

EXPLORING THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN IN AGRICULTURE FOR MACHINERY, BIOMASS AND REDUCING CARBON FOOTPRINTS

P. Kalaiselvi[1], Pukhrambam Sunilkumar Singh[2*], Vijay Kumar[3], Ms. Sumana Balo[4], Anushi[5], Abhishek Singh[6], Gyanendra Singh[5] and Rini Labanya[7]
[1]ICAR- Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Salem, Tamilnadu, India
[2]Department of Agri. Engineering (Mechanical Engineering), Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou, Manipur, India
[3]ICAR- Sugarcane Breeding institute, Regional Centre, Karnal-132001, Haryana, India
[4]Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, School of Agriculture, GIET University, Gunupur, Rayagada, Odisha- 765022, India
[5]ICAR-ATARI, Zone-III, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, 208002, India
[6]J.B. Institute of Technology, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, 248197, India
[8]Sri Sri University, Cuttack Odisha, 754006
*Corresponding author E-mail: nilzkhumanz10@gmail.com
(Date of Receiving : 02-11-2024; Date of Acceptance : 31-12-2024)

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen is emerging as a pivotal element in the transition to sustainable agricultural practices due to its unparalleled versatility, clean energy profile, and potential to integrate seamlessly with renewable energy systems. Unlike fossil fuels, hydrogen produces no greenhouse gas emissions during use, and it can be locally produced using resources like solar or wind energy, reducing dependency on imported energy sources. Its adaptability for applications ranging from powering machinery to enhancing biomass conversion further underscores its unique advantages over other energy alternatives. Its potential applications in powering machinery, processing biomass, and reducing carbon footprints make it a compelling area of study for achieving global climate goals. This article explores the multifaceted roles of hydrogen in agriculture, including its use as a clean fuel alternative for farm machinery, its integration into biomass conversion technologies, and its broader implications for decarbonizing the agricultural sector. By examining the latest advancements, challenges, and opportunities, this paper highlights how hydrogen can contribute to a resilient and environmentally responsible agricultural system.
***Keywords* :** Hydrogen economy, agriculture, farm machinery, biomass processing, carbon footprint, renewable energy, sustainable practices

Introduction

Agriculture has traditionally been a resource-intensive sector with significant contributions to greenhouse gas emissions, primarily through the use of fossil fuels and inefficient land management practices Seidel (2021), Priyadharsini (2022), Tian (2023). As the world faces the pressing challenges of climate change and resource scarcity, there is an increasing need to adopt innovative solutions that ensure food security while minimizing environmental impact (Moustafa, 2023). Hydrogen, as a versatile and clean energy carrier, offers promising prospects for transforming agricultural systems (IRENA, 2021). The role of hydrogen is in three critical areas: powering agricultural machinery, enhancing biomass utilization, and reducing carbon emissions (Jara-Cobos, 2023). By leveraging hydrogen technologies, the agricultural sector can not only reduce its reliance on fossil fuels but also contribute to the global transition toward a low-carbon economy.

Historical events

The main source of energy for cooking and heating meals between 230,000 and 1.5 million years ago was biomass (Ayodele, 2019). In underdeveloped nations without access to bio-energy facilities, burning biomass was the main way of energy conversion (Jayaraman, 2021). In recent years, ethanol production from modern biomass energy has surpassed wind and solar power as an important renewable energy source. The majority of the United States' energy came from biomass sources prior to 1870, when wood made up 70% of that energy (Arun, 2021). There was a severe shortage of lumber in the late 17th century due to Britain's insatiable need for wood (Meramo-Hurtado, 2020). Soon after its introduction, coal and petroleum surpassed wood as the most important energy sources. Biological components, including plant and animal debris as well as microbial metabolic by-products, are the building blocks of biomass, a sustainable energy source (Lepage, 2020). Items such as wood, bark, sawdust, and agricultural waste (including straw, corn stalks, sugarcane leaves, seed hulls, nutshells, and manure) are all part of this category (Peres, 2011). Coal and petroleum are fossil fuels that were once biomass but are no longer considered biomass because of the tremendous geological modification they have undergone. One kind of biomass that is utilized to produce hydrogen is algae (Setiabudi, 2020). One sustainable option for producing clean energy is microalgae biomass, which has a wide range of compounds that might be used in hydrogen production (Boretti, 2021). The diverse biomass derived from microalgae has the ability to be sustainably and effectively used for hydrogen production, highlighting its potential as a renewable energy source (Nguyen, 2023). It can be utilized to produce biofuels such as biodiesel and bio-crude oil, which can then be converted into hydrogen through various metabolic routes (Ghodke, 2023). The use of these biomass sources has the potential benefit of converting atmospheric CO_2 into renewable bioenergy. Emissions are reduced and clean energy is produced as a result (Ahmed, 2021). Between 230,000 and 1.5 million years ago, biomass was the primary energy source for cooking and heating meals. The primary method of converting biomass into energy in developing countries without bio-energy infrastructure was burning it (Arun, 2022). Producing ethanol using contemporary biomass energy has recently overtaken wind and solar electricity as a significant renewable energy source. Before 1870, when wood accounted for 70% of that energy, biomass sources provided the vast bulk of the energy for the US (Kucharska, 2021). Because Britain needed wood so desperately, lumber was in limited supply in the late 17th century. Rapidly following their introduction, coal and petroleum overtook wood as the primary energy sources (Gabrielyan, 2021). The fundamental elements of biomass, a renewable energy source, are biological components, such as the remains of plants and animals and the metabolic products of microbes (Thompson, 2008). In this group you will find things like wood, bark, sawdust, and other forms of agricultural waste, such as straw, maize stalks, sugarcane leaves, seed hulls, nutshells, and manure (Malolan, 2020). Fossil fuels like coal and petroleum were formerly biomass but are no longer classified as such due to the extensive geological changes they have experienced. Hydrogen may be produced from several biomass sources, one of which being algae (Pham, 2021). Since microalgae biomass contains a variety of chemicals that might be utilized in hydrogen generation, it is a viable and environmentally friendly alternative for creating clean energy (Dolle, 2022). A renewable energy source with great promise, microalgae's varied biomass may be efficiently and sustainably employed to produce hydrogen (Liu, 2020). It has several metabolic applications, including the production of biofuels like biodiesel and bio-crude oil, which may subsequently be transformed into hydrogen (Zhao, 2021). One possible advantage of using these biomass sources is that they can transform CO_2 from the air into renewable bioenergy. The outcome is less pollution and more sustainable energy (Reigstad, 2022).

Current Challenges in Agricultural Machinery

The agricultural sector faces several significant challenges related to machinery that hinder efficiency, sustainability, and economic viability. Some of the key challenges include:

1. Environmental Impact

- **Emissions**: Conventional agricultural machinery, such as tractors and harvesters, relies on diesel or gasoline engines, which contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions (Aziz, 2021). This is a major concern in efforts to reduce carbon footprints and combat climate change.
- **Soil Compaction**: Heavy machinery used in farming can lead to soil compaction, which reduces soil aeration and water infiltration, negatively impacting crop yields and soil health (Chen, 2019).

2. Fuel Costs and Dependence on Fossil Fuels

- **Rising Fuel Prices**: The cost of diesel and gasoline, commonly used in agricultural machinery, has been volatile and continues to rise, placing a financial burden on farmers (He, 2021).

- **Dependence on Fossil Fuels**: The reliance on non-renewable resources for fuel also raises concerns about energy security and the long-term sustainability of farming practices (Sang-Hyoun, 2021).

3. Machinery Maintenance and Downtime

- **High Maintenance Costs**: Agricultural machinery is subject to wear and tear from heavy use, leading to high maintenance costs and frequent repairs, which can disrupt farming operations (Aguado, 2022).
- **Downtime**: Mechanical failures, especially during peak planting or harvesting seasons, can cause significant losses in productivity (Giglio, 2021).

4. Limited Technological Integration

- **Lack of Smart Technology:** While some advances in automation and precision farming have been made, many agricultural machines still operate with limited connectivity and data integration. This limits the ability to optimize fuel usage, track maintenance, or adapt to changing weather patterns in real-time (Ayub, 2022).
- **Adoption Barriers:** The cost of high-tech machinery and the training required for their use can be prohibitive for smaller-scale or resource-limited farms, slowing the adoption of innovations like autonomous tractors or drones (Dean, 2010).

5. Soil Health and Sustainability

- **Excessive Tillage**: Traditional farming methods involving heavy machinery lead to excessive tillage, which disrupts soil structure and can result in erosion, nutrient depletion, and loss of organic matter (Parvez, 2020).
- **Water Use and Irrigation**: Agricultural machinery used in irrigation often operates inefficiently, leading to the waste of water resources, which is especially problematic in areas facing water scarcity (Wang, 2022).

6. Economic Barriers

- **High Initial Investment**: The upfront cost of acquiring modern agricultural machinery can be prohibitively high, making it difficult for smaller farms or developing regions to adopt advanced solutions.
- **Economic Viability**: Even when adopting more sustainable or energy-efficient machinery, the financial viability for farmers, especially smallholder farms, can be challenging without adequate subsidies or government support.

7. Energy Efficiency and Fuel Consumption

- **Inefficient Energy Use**: Diesel-powered engines in agricultural machinery are not always the most energy-efficient, leading to high operational costs and inefficiency in terms of fuel usage (Blanquet, 2021).
- **Fuel Dependency**: Farmers are highly dependent on fuel availability and price stability, which can be volatile and subject to global market fluctuations (Santamaria, 2021).

Hydrogen-Powered Alternatives

Hydrogen-powered alternatives are rapidly emerging as a promising solution to address the environmental, economic, and operational challenges facing the agricultural sector (Rahim, 2023). With increasing pressure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and dependence on fossil fuels, hydrogen presents a cleaner and more sustainable energy source for agricultural machinery (Elsaddik, 2024). From fuel cells to hydrogen-powered tractors, irrigation systems, and backup power solutions, these innovations offer substantial benefits for farmers and the environment alike (Elhambakhsh, 2023).

Hydrogen Fuel Cells in Agricultural Machinery

Hydrogen fuel cells can replace conventional internal combustion engines in agricultural machinery such as tractors, harvesters, and irrigation systems (Al Yahya, 2021). These fuel cells generate electricity through a chemical reaction between hydrogen and oxygen, emitting only water vapour, making them a zero-emission alternative to diesel or gasoline-powered machinery (Hajizadeh, 2021).

The key benefits include:

- **Zero Emissions:** No harmful pollutants are released into the atmosphere.
- **Energy Efficiency:** Hydrogen fuel cells are more efficient than traditional diesel engines, extending operational hours and reducing fuel consumption.
- **Quiet Operation:** Hydrogen-powered equipment operates more quietly, reducing noise pollution on farms.

While the technology is promising, challenges remain, such as the lack of refueling infrastructure and the high cost of hydrogen fuel cells and storage systems (Kolodziejczyk, 2023). However, as these technologies evolve, they could provide a sustainable, long-term solution to reduce the agricultural sector's carbon footprint.

Hydrogen-Powered Tractors and Equipment

Hydrogen-powered tractors are already in the testing phase, with several manufacturers like Claas and New Holland developing prototypes (Rahimi, 2023). These hydrogen-powered tractors can significantly reduce emissions and provide longer operational hours compared to battery-powered equipment, which is limited by battery life (Park, 2020).

Advantages of hydrogen-powered tractors include:

- **Sustainability**: They offer an eco-friendly alternative to diesel-powered machinery.
- **Extended Operational Time**: Hydrogen refuelling takes less time than recharging electric vehicles, which is critical during peak farming seasons.
- **Performance**: Hydrogen fuel cells offer consistent power, making them ideal for heavy agricultural tasks.

While infrastructure for refuelling hydrogen-powered tractors remains limited, this challenge is being addressed by research and development into more efficient refuelling networks (Qian, 2024).

Hydrogen-Powered Irrigation and Processing Machinery

Hydrogen-powered systems are also making their way into irrigation pumps and crop processing machinery (Aminu, 2023). These systems offer a clean alternative to diesel-powered water pumps, reducing carbon emissions and promoting sustainable water management. Hydrogen can also power harvesters and post-harvest processing equipment, which typically requires significant energy input (Dash, 2023).

Key benefits include:

- **Reduced Emissions**: Hydrogen-powered pumps and processing systems help reduce the carbon footprint of farming activities.
- **Increased Efficiency**: These systems can operate more efficiently, offering energy savings in water management and crop processing tasks (Sedai, 2023).

Hydrogen as a Backup Power Source for Farms

Hydrogen-powered fuel cells or generators can provide reliable backup power for agricultural operations, such as greenhouses or storage facilities (Guo, 2022). In rural areas where access to grid electricity may be inconsistent, hydrogen backup systems offer a resilient solution to ensure farming activities continue uninterrupted (Li, 2021).

The key benefits are:

- **Reliability**: Hydrogen backup systems ensure power availability during electricity outages.
- **Sustainability**: They contribute to reducing reliance on diesel or gasoline generators, further lowering the environmental impact.

Hydrogen Production and Renewable Integration

The sustainability of hydrogen as a fuel depends on how it is produced. Green hydrogen, produced using renewable energy sources like wind or solar, holds immense potential for farming applications (Yao, 2016). In addition, agricultural waste could be converted into hydrogen through biomass gasification, providing farmers with a localized and renewable fuel source (Shahbaz, 2017).

Hydrogen and ways to extract the technology

Gasification

Gasification is a thermochemical process that converts carbonaceous materials, such as biomass, coal, or waste, into syngas (synthesis gas), which primarily consists of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide (Zhou, 2022). This versatile technology is a key component of the hydrogen economy, offering an efficient and scalable method to produce hydrogen while managing waste and utilizing abundant feed-stocks (Zhang, 2015). The process involves heating the feedstock to high temperatures (700–1,000°C) in the presence of a controlled amount of oxygen or steam, rather than air, to prevent complete combustion (Ma, 2019). Gasification is widely recognized for its adaptability. A range of feedstocks, including agricultural residues, municipal solid waste (MSW), and industrial by-products, can be used, making it a sustainable solution for hydrogen production (Chen, 2016). The produced syngas undergoes a process known as the water-gas shift reaction, where carbon monoxide reacts with steam to produce additional hydrogen and carbon dioxide. The hydrogen is then purified using techniques like pressure swing adsorption (PSA) or membrane separation (Xu, 2018).

Benefits of Gasification

1. **Feedstock Versatility**: Gasification can utilize a variety of feedstocks, including biomass, coal, and waste, making it flexible for different regions and industries.

2. **Waste Management**: By converting waste into valuable hydrogen, gasification helps reduce landfill usage and environmental pollution.
3. **Carbon Capture Integration**: Advanced gasification systems can integrate carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
4. **Energy Efficiency**: Compared to direct combustion, gasification offers higher energy efficiency and better conversion rates.

Examples of Gasification from Agricultural Waste

Agricultural Waste Feedstock	Process Description	Hydrogen Production Use Case
Rice Husk	Gasified at high temperatures to produce syngas, followed by hydrogen purification through water-gas shift reaction.	Hydrogen for powering small-scale irrigation pumps and generators.
Sugarcane Bagasse	Residues from sugarcane processing are gasified to generate hydrogen and co-products like bio-char.	Hydrogen for fuel cells in tractors and farm machinery.
Corn Stover	Comprising leaves, stalks, and cobs, corn Stover is gasified to produce syngas, later refined to extract hydrogen.	Hydrogen for rural electrification and agricultural operations.
Wheat Straw	Gasified in reactors with controlled oxygen to generate syngas, enabling hydrogen extraction.	Hydrogen for decentralized power supply in farming communities.
Coconut Shells	Gasification of coconut shells produces syngas and hydrogen, utilizing a renewable resource.	Hydrogen for renewable energy integration in agricultural hubs.
Palm Kernel Shells	Residual shells from palm oil production are gasified, producing hydrogen and minimizing waste.	Hydrogen for energy storage and greenhouse heating systems.
Groundnut Shells	Gasified to convert into syngas, followed by hydrogen purification processes.	Hydrogen for on-site power and backup systems in farms.
Cotton Stalks	Gasified to produce syngas and hydrogen, reducing dependence on fossil fuels.	Hydrogen for operating hybrid agricultural machinery.
Fruit Peels and Pulp Waste	Organic residues from fruit processing are gasified to produce hydrogen.	Hydrogen for food processing plants and packaging units.
Soybean Residues	Soybean harvest leftovers undergo gasification to generate syngas and extract hydrogen.	Hydrogen for bio-based fertilizer production systems.

Pyrolysis

Pyrolysis is a thermochemical decomposition process that converts organic materials, such as biomass or agricultural waste, into valuable products, including bio-oil, syngas (synthesis gas), and bio-char, by heating them in the absence of oxygen (Giang, 2019). This process occurs at temperatures ranging from 300°C to 700°C, depending on the desired output. Pyrolysis is gaining attention as an eco-friendly technology for waste management and renewable energy production (Wang, 2018). It not only reduces waste but also creates opportunities to generate hydrogen, biofuels, and soil amendments.

During pyrolysis, the agricultural feedstock breaks down into three main components:

1. **Bio-Oil**: A liquid fuel that can be further refined into transportation fuels or chemical feed stocks.
2. **Syngas**: A mixture of gases, primarily hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and methane, that can be purified to extract hydrogen or used for energy generation.
3. **Bio-char**: A solid carbon-rich material that can improve soil fertility, sequester carbon, and serve as a by-product for sustainable agriculture.

Pyrolysis is particularly effective for agricultural waste management, turning residues into renewable energy and useful by-products (Kumar, 2018). Unlike combustion or gasification, pyrolysis operates in the absence of oxygen, minimizing emissions and improving efficiency. It can handle various agricultural wastes, making it a versatile solution for farmers and industries looking to adopt sustainable practices (Asadu, 2018).

Benefits of Pyrolysis

1. **Renewable Energy Production**: Syngas and bio-oil produced during pyrolysis are renewable energy sources that can replace fossil fuels.
2. **Hydrogen Generation**: Syngas can undergo additional processing (water-gas shift reaction) to extract hydrogen for use in fuel cells and energy systems.

3. **Carbon Sequestration**: Bio-char stores carbon in a stable form, helping to mitigate climate change.
4. **Waste Management**: Agricultural residues, which are often left to decompose or burned, can be converted into value-added products.
5. **Soil Enhancement**: Bio-char improves soil structure, water retention, and nutrient availability, promoting sustainable farming.

Biochemical Conversion of Agricultural Waste

Biochemical conversion is an eco-friendly and efficient method of transforming agricultural waste into valuable products like biogas, bioethanol, and hydrogen (Yang, 2017). This process relies on biological mechanisms such as fermentation, anaerobic digestion, and enzymatic hydrolysis to break down complex organic materials into simpler, energy-rich compounds (Hibino, 2018). Agricultural residues, including crop stubble, fruit peels, and livestock manure, are excellent feedstocks for this process, offering an effective way to manage waste and generate renewable energy (Zhang, 2020).

The biochemical conversion process begins with the pre-treatment of agricultural waste to enhance the bioavailability of its organic components (Kaiwen, 2018). This step involves physical, chemical, or enzymatic methods to break down lignocellulosic structures, making the material more accessible for microbial action (Adhikari, 2018). The key processes in biochemical conversion include:

1. **Anaerobic Digestion**: Microorganisms break down organic matter in the absence of oxygen, producing biogas, a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide. Biogas can be further processed to extract hydrogen or used directly as a renewable energy source.
2. **Fermentation**: Agricultural residues rich in carbohydrates, such as sugarcane bagasse or corn stover, are fermented by microbes to produce bioethanol or biobutanol, which can serve as biofuels. In some cases, the process also generates hydrogen as a byproduct.
3. **Enzymatic Hydrolysis**: Enzymes degrade complex carbohydrates in biomass into fermentable sugars, which are then used by microbes to produce hydrogen or other bio-products.

Benefits of Biochemical Conversion

- **Sustainability**: The process utilizes agricultural waste, reducing environmental pollution and landfill use.
- **Carbon Neutrality**: The carbon dioxide emitted during biochemical conversion is offset by the carbon absorbed by plants during growth, making it a net-zero process.
- **Versatility**: A wide range of agricultural wastes can be processed, including crop residues, fruit and vegetable peels, and livestock manure.
- **Renewable Energy Production**: Biogas and hydrogen produced can replace fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Examples of Biochemical Conversion from Agricultural Waste

Agricultural Waste Feedstock	Process Description	End Products	Applications
Sugarcane Bagasse	Fermented to produce bio-ethanol and hydrogen.	Bio-ethanol, Hydrogen	Biofuel for vehicles and hydrogen fuel cells.
Rice Straw	Anaerobic digestion to produce biogas and bio-hydrogen.	Biogas (Methane), Hydrogen	Renewable energy for rural electrification.
Corn Stover	Enzymatic hydrolysis followed by fermentation.	Hydrogen, Bio-ethanol	Hydrogen for energy storage and transport.
Fruit Peels	Fermented in bioreactors to generate biogas and bio-fuel.	Biogas, Bio-ethanol	Cooking fuel and industrial bioenergy.
Dairy Manure	Anaerobic digestion to produce biogas.	Methane, Hydrogen	Electricity generation and farm machinery fuel.
Wheat Bran	Hydrolysed and fermented to extract hydrogen.	Hydrogen, Bio-ethanol	Hydrogen for green energy initiatives.
Vegetable Waste	Anaerobic digestion in bio-digesters.	Biogas (Methane), Hydrogen	Biogas for cooking and heating applications.
Palm Oil Residues	Fermented to produce bio-ethanol and bio-hydrogen.	Bio-ethanol, Hydrogen	Renewable energy for industrial applications.

Hydrothermal Processing of Agricultural Waste

Hydrothermal processing is an innovative and versatile method for converting wet agricultural waste into valuable bio-fuels, chemicals, and hydrogen (Bhatia, 2021). Unlike other thermo-chemical processes, hydrothermal processing uses water as the reaction medium at high temperatures (200–400°C) and pressures (5–25 MPa) (Wang, 2018). This eliminates the need for drying the feedstock, making it especially suitable for processing wet biomass such as crop residues, food waste, and animal manure (Saratale, 2019). The process transforms organic material into energy-dense products like bio-oil, bio-char, and hydrogen-rich gases, providing sustainable energy solutions and effective waste management strategies. The primary types of hydrothermal processing include hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL), hydrothermal carbonization (HTC), and hydrothermal gasification (HTG) (Wei, 2016). Each method targets specific products:

1. **Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL)**: Converts biomass into bio-crude oil, which can be refined into bio-fuels or chemical feed stocks.
2. **Hydrothermal Carbonization (HTC)**: Produces solid bio-char with high carbon content, useful for soil improvement and carbon sequestration.
3. **Hydrothermal Gasification (HTG)**: Generates syngas, primarily composed of hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide, which can be further processed to extract hydrogen.

Benefits of Hydrothermal Processing

- **High Efficiency**: The process utilizes wet feedstock without the energy-intensive drying step, making it energy-efficient.
- **Diverse Feedstock Compatibility**: Hydrothermal processing can handle a variety of agricultural wastes, including high-moisture materials like manure and fruit peels.
- **Sustainability**: It offers a carbon-neutral or even carbon-negative pathway by converting waste into renewable fuels and capturing carbon in bio-char.
- **Waste Management**: Reduces the environmental impact of agricultural residues and food waste by turning them into valuable products.

•

Examples of Hydrothermal Processing from Agricultural Waste

Agricultural Waste Feedstock	Processing Method	End Products	Applications
Fruit Peels	Hydrothermal Liquefaction	Bio-oil, Bio-char	Bio-oil for industrial fuel and bio-char for farming.
Rice Husk	Hydrothermal Gasification	Hydrogen, Methane, Carbon Dioxide	Hydrogen for fuel cells and methane for heating.
Corn Stover	Hydrothermal Liquefaction	Bio-crude, Bio-char	Bio-fuel for machinery and carbon-rich bio-char.
Dairy Manure	Hydrothermal Gasification	Hydrogen, Syngas	Hydrogen for power generation and syngas for energy.
Sugarcane Bagasse	Hydrothermal Carbonization	Bio-char, Liquid Hydrocarbons	Soil amendment and energy feed-stocks.
Vegetable Waste	Hydrothermal Liquefaction	Bio-oil, Char Water	Renewable energy for rural electrification.
Palm Kernel Shells	Hydrothermal Gasification	Hydrogen, Bio-char	Hydrogen for transportation and bio-char for soil.
Soybean Residues	Hydrothermal Carbonization	Bio-char, Syngas	Soil enrichment and renewable energy.

Challenges in production of hydrogen

1. High Initial Costs

- Establishing infrastructure for hydrogen production, storage, and transportation is capital-intensive.
- Advanced technologies like electrolyzers and biomass gasifiers require significant investment.

2. Feedstock Availability and Variability

- Agricultural residues and biomass availability depend on seasonal production, weather conditions, and crop types.
- Variability in feedstock quality can affect the efficiency of hydrogen production processes.

3. Technological Limitations

- Many hydrogen production methods, such as photo-electrochemical or biological methods, are still in research or pilot phases.
- Existing technologies like gasification or pyrolysis require optimization to improve yield and cost-effectiveness.

4. Environmental Concerns

- Improper biomass collection can lead to soil degradation and nutrient depletion.
- Emissions from some processes (e.g., gasification) require effective management to maintain sustainability.

5. Infrastructure and Policy Gaps

- Lack of established supply chains for hydrogen in rural and agricultural areas.
- Insufficient policy support or incentives for renewable hydrogen adoption in agriculture.

6. Energy Demand and Efficiency

- Hydrogen production processes, particularly electrolysis, require significant energy inputs, raising concerns about overall energy efficiency and source sustainability.

Opportunities for Indian subcontinent

1. Utilizing Agricultural Residues

- Crop residues, livestock manure, and agro-industrial waste can be used as feedstock, creating value from otherwise discarded materials.
- Reduces environmental problems like open burning of residues or unmanaged waste.

2. Energy Independence for Rural Areas

- On-site hydrogen production can power farm equipment, irrigation systems, and storage facilities, reducing reliance on fossil fuels.
- Enables off-grid energy solutions in remote agricultural regions.

3. Reduction in Carbon Emissions

- Hydrogen can replace diesel in tractors and machinery, significantly lowering greenhouse gas emissions.
- Supports decarbonisation goals for agriculture and contributes to national climate targets.

4. Synergy with Renewable Energy

- Excess renewable energy from solar or wind installations on farms can power electrolyzers for green hydrogen production.
- Enhances the economics of renewable energy projects in agricultural settings.

5. Economic Opportunities

- Hydrogen production can create new revenue streams for farmers by selling hydrogen or bio-char (a by-product of some processes).
- Generates employment opportunities in rural areas through new infrastructure and technology deployment.

6. Enhanced Soil Health

- Bio-char from pyrolysis processes improves soil fertility and acts as a carbon sink, promoting sustainable farming practices.

7. Advancements in Technology

- Ongoing research into cost-effective, low-emission hydrogen production methods (e.g., microbial or enzymatic processes) could make adoption easier for the agricultural sector.

Future Perspectives

The integration of hydrogen into agriculture is at a nascent stage but holds tremendous potential for transforming the sector. Ongoing advancements in hydrogen production, storage, and utilization technologies are expected to lower costs and enhance efficiency. Collaborative efforts between governments, private sectors, and research institutions will be crucial in realizing this potential. By adopting hydrogen-based solutions, agriculture can become a leader in sustainable development, demonstrating that economic growth and environmental stewardship can coexist.

Conclusion

Hydrogen offers a multifaceted approach to addressing some of the most pressing challenges in agriculture. From de-carbonizing machinery and enhancing biomass conversion to reducing overall carbon footprints, hydrogen stands out as a key enabler of sustainable agricultural practices. While challenges remain, the opportunities far outweigh the risks, making hydrogen a cornerstone of the future of agriculture.

References

Adhikari S., Nam H., Chakraborty J.P., Conversion of Solid Wastes to Fuels and Chemicals Through Pyrolysis, Elsevier B.V. (2018), 10.1016/B978-0-444-63992-9.00008-2

Aguado R., Vera D., Jurado F., Beltrán G., An integrated gasification plant for electric power generation from wet biomass: toward a sustainable production in the olive oil industry, Biomass Convers. Biorefinery (0123456789) (2022), 10.1007/s13399-021-02231-0

Ahmed S.F., Rafa N., Mofijur M., Badruddin I.A., Inayat A., Ali M.S., Farrok O., Khan T.M.Y., Biohydrogen production from biomass sources: Metabolic pathways and economic analysis, Front. Energy Res., 9 (September) (2021), pp. 1-13, 10.3389/fenrg.2021.753878

Al Yahya S., Iqbal T., Omar M.M., Techno-economic analysis of fast pyrolysis of date palm waste for adoption in Saudi Arabia (2021)

Aminu I., Nahil M.A., Williams P.T., Pyrolysis-plasma/ catalytic reforming of post-consumer waste plastics for hydrogen production, Catal. Today, 420 (March) (2023), Article 114084, 10.1016/j.cattod.2023.114084

Arun J., Gopinath K.P., Vo D.V.N., SundarRajan P.S., Swathi M., Co-hydrothermal gasification of scenedesmus sp. with sewage sludge for bio-hydrogen production using novel solid catalyst derived from carbon-zinc battery waste, Bioresour. Technol. Rep., 11 (May) (2020), Article 100459, 10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100459

Arun J., Sasipraba T., Gopinath K.P., Priyadharsini P., Nachiappan S., Nirmala N., Dawn S.S., Chi N.T.L., Pugazhendhi A., Influence of biomass and nanoadditives in dark fermentation for enriched bio-hydrogen production: A detailed mechanistic review on pathway and commercialization challenges, Fuel, 327 (April) (2022), Article 125112, 10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125112

Asadu C.O., Aneke N.G., Egbuna S.O., Comparative studies on the impact of bio-fertilizer produced from agro-wastes using thermo-tolerant actinomycetes on the growth performance of Maize (Zea-mays) and Okro (Abelmoschus esculentus), Environ. Technol. Innov., 12 (2018), pp. 55-71

Ayodele B.V., Mustapa S.I., Bin Tuan Abdullah T.A.R., Salleh S.F., A mini-review on hydrogen-rich syngas production by thermo-catalytic and bioconversion of biomass and its environmental implications, Front. Energy Res., 7 (October) (2019), pp. 1-6, 10.3389/fenrg.2019.00118

Ayub H.M.U., Rafiq M., Qyyum M.A., Rafiq G., Choi G.S., Lee M., Prediction of process parameters for the integrated biomass gasification power plant using artificial neural network, Front. Energy Res., 10 (June) (2022), pp. 1-9, 10.3389/fenrg.2022.894875

Aziz M., Darmawan A., Juangsa F.B., Hydrogen production from biomasses and wastes: A technological review, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy, 46 (68) (2021), pp. 33756-33781, 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.07.189

Bhatia S.K., Jagtap S.S., Bedekar A.A., Bhatia R.K., Rajendran K., Pugazhendhi A., Rao C.V., Atabani A.E., Kumar G., Yang Y., Renewable biohydrogen production from lignocellulosic biomass using fermentation and integration of systems with other energy generation technologies, Sci. Total Environ., 765 (2021), Article 144429, 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2020.144429

Blanquet E., Williams P.T., Biomass pyrolysis coupled with non-thermal plasma/catalysis for hydrogen production: Influence of biomass components and catalyst properties, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 159 (September) (2021), Article 105325, 10.1016/j.jaap.2021.105325

Boretti A., Banik B.K., Advances in hydrogen production from natural gas reforming, Adv. Energy Sustain. Res., 2 (11) (2021), pp. 1-10, 10.1002/aesr.202100097

Chandel H., Kumar P., Chandel A.K., Verma M.L., Biotechnological advances in biomass pretreatment for bio-renewable production through nanotechnological intervention, Biomass Convers. Biorefinery, 2024 (0123456789) (2022), 10.1007/s13399-022-02746-0

Chen F., Wu C., Dong L., Vassallo A., Williams P.T., Huang J., Characteristics and catalytic properties of Ni/CaAlOx catalyst for hydrogen-enriched syngas production from pyrolysis-steam reforming of biomass sawdust, Appl. Catal. B Environ., 183 (2016), pp. 168-175, 10.1016/ j.apcatb.2015.10.028

Chen J., Xu W., Wu X., Jiaqiang E., Lu N., Wang T., System development and environmental performance analysis of a pilot scale microbial electrolysis cell for hydrogen production using urban wastewater, (2019), pp. 52-63, 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.04.060

Chen X., Sun Z., Kuo P.C., Aziz M., Synergistic solar energy integration for enhanced biomass chemical looping hydrogen production: Thermodynamics and techno-economic analyses, Chem. Eng. J., 485 (2024), 10.1016/j.cej.2024.149734

Chun A., Loy M., Gah K., Kong H., Yau J., Shen B. Frontier of digitalization in biomass-to-x supply chain : opportunity or threats ?J. Bioresour. Bioprod., 8 (2) (2023), pp. 101-107, 10.1016/j.jobab.2023.03.001

Dash S.K., Chakraborty S., Elangovan D., A brief review of hydrogen production methods and their challenges, Energies, 16 (3) (2023), 10.3390/en16031141

Dean J., Braun R., Munoz D., Analysis of hybrid hydrogen systems final report analysis of hybrid hydrogen systems final report, Contract (January) (2010)

Dolle C., Neha N., Coutanceau C., Electrochemical hydrogen production from biomass, Curr. Opin. Electrochem., 31 (2022), Article 100841, 10.1016/j.coelec.2021.100841

Elhambakhsh A., Van Duc N., Lamichhane P., Hessel V., Recent progress and future directions in plasma-assisted biomass conversion to hydrogen, Renew. Energy, 218 (June) (2023), Article 119307, 10.1016/j.renene. 2023. 119307

Elsaddik M., Nzihou A., Delmas G.H., Delmas M., Renewable and high - purity hydrogen from lignocellulosic biomass in a biorefinery approach, Sci. Rep. (0123456789) (2024), pp. 1-13, 10.1038/s41598-023-50611-5

Gabrielyan L., Sargsyan H., Trchounian A., Novel properties of photofermentative biohydrogen production by purple bacteria rhodobacter sphaeroides: Effects of protonophores and inhibitors of responsible enzymes, Microb. Cell Fact., 14 (1) (2015), pp. 1-10, 10.1186/ s12934-015-0324-3

Galvagno A., Prestipino M., Maisano S., Urbani F., Chiodo V., Integration into a citrus juice factory of air-steam gasification and CHP system: Energy sustainability assessment, Energy Convers. Manag., 193 (April) (2019), pp. 74-85, 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.04.067

Ghodke P.K., Sharma A.K., Jayaseelan A., Gopinath K.P., Hydrogen-rich syngas production from the lignocellulosic biomass by catalytic gasification: A state of art review on advance technologies, economic challenges, and future prospectus, Fuel, 342 (February) (2023), Article 127800, 10.1016/j.fuel.2023.127800

Giang T.T., Lunprom S., Liao Q., Reungsang A., Salakkam A., Enhancing hydrogen production from chlorella sp. Biomass by pre-hydrolysis with simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (PSSF), Energies, 12 (5) (2019), 10.3390/en12050908

Giglio E., Vitale G., Lanzini A., Santarelli M., Integration between biomass gasification and high-temperature electrolysis for synthetic methane production, Biomass Bioenergy, 148 (2021), Article 106017, 10.1016/ j.biombioe. 2021.106017

Guo J.X., Tan X., Zhu K., Gu B., Integrated management of mixed biomass for hydrogen production from gasification Chem. Eng. Res. Des., 179 (2022), pp. 41-55, 10.1016/ j.cherd.2022.01.012

Hai T., Ali M.A., Alizadeh A., Almojil S.F., Singh P.K., Almohana A.I., Almoalimi K.T., Alali A.F., Design of a biomass-fueled system to produce hydrogen/power: Environmental analyses and bi-objective optimization, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy (xxxx) (2022), 10.1016/j.ijhydene .2022.11.279

Hajizadeh A., Mohamadi-baghmolaei M., Saady N.M.C., Hydrogen production from biomass through integration of anaerobic digestion and biogas dry reforming, Appl. Energy, 309 (2021) (2022), Article 118442, 10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.118442

He Z., Liu F., Moustafa H., El-Din Hassouna M.S., Enhancing the performance of a microbial electrochemical system with carbon-based dynamic membrane as both anode electrode and filtration media (2021), 10.1039/ d0ew01027h

Herdem M.S., Mazzeo D., Matera N., Baglivo C., Khan N., Afnan, Congedo P.M., De Giorgi M.G., A brief overview of solar and wind-based green hydrogen production systems: Trends and standardization, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy (2023), 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.05.172

Hibino T., Kobayashi K., Kobayashi K., Ito M., Ma Q., Nagao M., Fukui M., Teranishi S., Efficient hydrogen production by direct electrolysis of waste biomass at intermediate temperatures, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 6 (7) (2018), pp. 9360-9368, 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b01701

I.R.E.A. IRENA, Hydrogen, (2021), https://www.irena.org /Energy-Transition/Technology/Hydrogen

IRENA, Hydrogen: A renewable energy perspective, (2019), https://irena.org/publications/2019/Sep/Hydrogen-A-renewable-energy-perspective

Jagtap S.S., Rao C.V., Production of d-arabitol from d-xylose by the oleaginous yeast rhodosporidium toruloides IFO0880, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 102 (1) (2018), pp. 143-151, 10.1007/s00253-017-8581-1

Jamil H., Qayyum F., Iqbal N., Khan M.A., Naqvi S.S.A., Khan S., Kim D.H., Secure hydrogen production analysis and prediction based on blockchain service framework for intelligent power management system (2023), pp. 3192-3224

Jara-Cobos L., Abril-González M., Pinos-Vélez V., Production of hydrogen from lignocellulosic biomass: A review of technologies, Catalysts, 13 (4) (2023), pp. 1-19, 10.3390/catal13040766

Jayaraman R.S., Gopinath K.P., Arun J., Malolan R., Adithya S., Ajay P.S., Sivaramakrishnan R., Pugazhendhi A., Co-hydrothermal gasification of microbial sludge and algae kappaphycus alvarezii for bio-hydrogen production: Study on aqueous phase reforming, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy, 46 (31) (2021), pp. 16555-16564, 10.1016/j.ijhydene. 2021. 02.038

Kaiwen L., Bin Y., Tao Z., Economic analysis of hydrogen production from steam reforming process: A literature review, Energy Sources, Part B Econ. Plan. Policy, 13 (2) (2018), pp. 109-115, 10.1080/15567249.2017.1387619

Kolodziejczyk B., Seawater electrolysis for hydrogen_here are the numbers _World economic forum (2023)

Kucharska K., Makoś-Chełstowska P., Słupek E., Gębicki J., Management of dark fermentation broth via bio refining and photo fermentation, Energies, 14 (19) (2021), 10.3390/en14196268

Kumar G., Shobana S., Nagarajan D., Lee D., Lee K., Lin C., Chen C., Chang J., Biomass based hydrogen production by dark fermentation — recent trends and opportunities for greener processes, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 50 (2018), pp. 136-145, 10.1016/j.copbio.2017.12.024

Lepage T., Kammoun M., Schmetz Q., Richel A., Biomass-to-hydrogen: A review of main routes production, processes evaluation and techno-economical assessment, Biomass Bioenergy, 144 (2020) (2021), Article 105920, 10.1016/j.biombioe.2020.105920

Li S., Guo L., Stability and activity of a co-precipitated Mg promoted Ni/Al2o3 catalyst for supercritical water gasification of biomass, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy (2019), pp. 15842-15852, 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.08.205

Liu W., Liu C., Gogoi P., Deng Y., Overview of biomass conversion to electricity and hydrogen and recent developments in low-temperature electrochemical approaches, Engineering, 6 (12) (2020), pp. 1351-1363, 10.1016/j.eng.2020.02.021

Ma X., Zhao X., Gu J., Shi J., Co-gasification of coal and biomass blends using dolomite and olivine as catalysts, Renew. Energy, 132 (2019), pp. 509-514, 10.1016/j. renene. 2018.07.077

Malolan R., Jayaraman R.S., Adithya S., Arun J., Gopinath K.P., SundarRajan P.S., Nasif O., Kim W., Govarthanan M., Anaerobic digestate water for chlorella pyrenoidosa cultivation and employed as co-substrate with cow dung and chicken manure for methane and hydrogen production: A closed loop approach, Chemosphere, 266 (xxxx) (2021), Article 128963, 10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2020.128963

Meramo-Hurtado S.I., Puello P., Cabarcas A., Process analysis of hydrogen production via biomass gasification under computer-aided safety and environmental assessments, ACS Omega, 5 (31) (2020), pp. 19667-19681, 10.1021/acsomega.0c02344

Mira K., Bugiotti F., Morosuk T., Conversion and management (2023)

Moustafa H., Moustafa A., Kamal M., Samada A., Ismail A., Xylulose 5-phosphate production using enzymes immobilized on silica nanoparticles and its application for biohydrogen generation, Bioresour. Technol. Rep., 24 (October) (2023), Article 101665, 10.1016/j.biteb.2023. 101665

Nguyen V.G., Nguye,Thi T.X., Nguyen P.Q.P., Tran V.D., Ağbulut Ü., Nguyen L.H., Balasubramanian D., Tarelko W., Bandh S.A., Pham N.D.K. Recent advances in hydrogen production from biomass waste with a focus on pyrolysis and gasification, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy (2023), 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.05.049

Park J., Chandrasekhar K., Jeon B., Jang M., Liu Y., Kim S., State-of-the-art technologies for continuous high-rate biohydrogen production, Bioresour. Technol. (2020), Article 124304, 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124304

Parvez M., Khan O., Parametric simulation of biomass integrated gasification combined cycle (BIGCC) power plant using three different biomass materials, Biomass Convers. Biorefinery, 10 (4) (2020), pp. 803-812, 10.1007/s13399-019-00499-x

Peres A.P.G., Lunelli B.H., Filho R.M., Application of biomass to hydrogen and syngas production, Chem. Eng. Trans., 32 (2011) (2013), pp. 589-594, 10.3303/CET1332099

Pham V.H.T., Kim J., Chang S., Chung W., Improvement of hydrogen production during anaerobic fermentation of food waste leachate by enriched bacterial culture using biochar as an additive (2021)

Prestipino M., Piccolo A., Polito M.F., Galvagno A., Combined bio-hydrogen, heat, and power production based on residual biomass gasification: Energy, exergy, and renewability assessment of an alternative process configuration, Energies, 15 (15) (2022), 10.3390/en 15155524

Prestipino M., Salmeri F., Cucinotta F., Galvagno A., Thermodynamic and environmental sustainability analysis of electricity production from an integrated cogeneration system based on residual biomass: A life cycle approach, Appl. Energy, 295 (April) (2021), Article 117054, 10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117054

Priyadharsini P., Nirmala N., Dawn S.S., Baskaran A., SundarRajan P., Gopinath K.P., Arun J., Genetic improvement of microalgae for enhanced carbon dioxide sequestration and enriched biomass productivity: Review on CO2 bio-fixation pathways modifications, Algal Res., 66 (April) (2022), Article 102810, 10.1016/j.algal. 2022.102810

Qian H., Zhang Q., Alsenani T.R., Bouzgarrou S., Integration of biomass gasification and O 2/ H 2 separation membranes for H 2 production/ separation with inherent CO 2 capture : Techno-economic evaluation and artificial neural network based multi-objective optimization, Renew. Energy, 224 (2023) (2024), Article 120150, 10.1016/j.renene.2024.120150

Qureshi F., Yusuf M., Tahir M., Haq M., Mohamed M.M.I., Kamyab H., Nguyen H.T., Vo D.N., Ibrahim H., Renewable hydrogen production via biological and thermochemical routes: Nanomaterials, economic analysis and challenges, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 179 (2023), pp. 68-88, 10.1016/j.psep.2023.07.075

Rahim F., Yuan H., Moran J.C., Tippayawong N., Overview of hydrogen production technologies for fuel cell utilization, Eng. Sci. Technol. Int. J., 43 (2023), Article 101452, 10.1016/j.jestch.2023.101452

Rahimi N., Eicker U., Mathematical modeling of microbial electrolysis cells for enhanced urban wastewater treatment and hydrogen generation (2023)

Reigstad G.A., Roussanaly S., Straus J., Anantharaman R., de Kler R., Akhurst M., Sunny N., Goldthorpe W., Avignon L., Pearce J., Flamme S., Guidati G., Panos E., Bauer C., Moving toward the low-carbon hydrogen economy: Experiences and key learnings from national case studies, Adv. Appl. Energy, 8 (September) (2022), Article 100108, 10.1016/j.adapen.2022.100108

Sang-Hyoun K., Gopalakrishnan K., Wei-Hsin C., Samir Kumar K., Renewable hydrogen production from biomass and wastes, Bioresour. Technol., 331 (2021)

Santamaria L., Lopez G., Fernandez E., Cortazar M., Arregi A., Olazar M., Bilbao J., Progress on catalyst development for the steam reforming of biomass and waste plastics pyrolysis volatiles: A review, Energy Fuels, 35 (21) (2021), pp. 17051-17084, 10.1021/acs.energyfuels. 1c01666

Saratale G.D., Saratale R.G., Banu J.R., Chang J., Biohydrogen Production From Renewable Biomass Resources, Elsevier B.V. (2019), 10.1016/B978-0-444-64203-5.00010-1

Schorer L., Schmitz S., Weber A., Membrane based purification of hydrogen system (MEMPHYS), Int. J. Hydrog. Energy, 44 (25) (2019), pp. 12708-12714, 10.1016/j.ijhydene. 2019.01.108

Sedai A., Dhakal R., Gautam S., Sedhain B.K., Thapa B.S., Moussa H., Pol S., Wind energy as a source of green hydrogen production in the USA, Clean Energy, 7 (1) (2023), pp. 8-22, 10.1093/ce/zkac075

Seidel K., The history of biomass as a renewable energy source, biomass, cablevey news (2021)

Setiabudi H.D., Aziz M.A.A., Abdullah S., Teh L.P., Jusoh R., Hydrogen production from catalytic steam reforming of biomass pyrolysis oil or bio-oil derivatives: A review, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy, 45 (36) (2020), pp. 18376-18397, 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.10.141

Shahbaz M., yusup S., Inayat A., Patrick D.O., Ammar M., The influence of catalysts in biomass steam gasification and catalytic potential of coal bottom ash in biomass steam gasification: A review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 73 (January) (2017), pp. 468-476, 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.153

Taipabu M.I., Viswanathan K., Wu W., Hattu N., Atabani A.E., A critical review of the hydrogen production from biomass-based feedstocks: Challenge, solution, and future prospect, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 164 (2022), pp. 384-407, 10.1016/j.psep.2022.06.006

Thompson R.S., Hydrogen production by anaerobic fermentation using agricultural and food processing wastes utilizing a two-stage digestion system (2008)

Tian X., Pei J., Study progress on the pipeline transportation safety of hydrogen-blended natural gas, Heliyon, 9 (11) (2023), Article e21454, 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21454

Vermaak L., Neomagus H.W.J.P., Bessarabov D.G., Recent advances in membrane-based electrochemical hydrogen separation: A review, Membranes (Basel), 11 (2) (2021), pp. 1-32, 10.3390/membranes11020127

Wang J., Yin Y., Fermentative hydrogen production using pretreated microalgal biomass as feedstock, Microb. Cell Fact. (2018), pp. 1-16, 10.1186/s12934-018-0871-5

Wang J., Yin Y., Fermentative hydrogen production using various biomass-based materials as feedstock, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 92 (2018), pp. 284-306, 10.1016/j. rser.2018.04.033

Wang W., Ma Y., Chen G., Quan C., Yanik J., Gao N., Tu X., Enhanced hydrogen production using a tandem biomass pyrolysis and plasma reforming process, Fuel Process.

Technol., 234 (April) (2022), Article 107333, 10.1016/j.fuproc.2022.107333

Wei H., Junhong T., Yongfeng L., Utilization of food waste for fermentative hydrogen production, (2016), pp. 1-8, 10.1515/ psr-2016-0050

Xu C., Chen S., Soomro A., Sun Z., Xiang W., Hydrogen rich syngas production from biomass gasification using synthesized Fe/CaO active catalysts, J. Energy Inst., 91 (6) (2018), pp. 805-816, 10.1016/j.joei.2017.10.014

Yang L., Liu W., Zhang Z., Du X., Gong J., Dong L., Deng Y., Hydrogen evolution from native biomass with Fe3+/Fe2+ redox couple catalyzed electrolysis, Electrochim. Acta, 246 (2017), pp. 1163-1173, 10.1016/j.electacta. 2017. 06.124

Yao D., Hu Q., Wang D., Yang H., Wu C., Wang X., Chen H., Hydrogen production from biomass gasification using biochar as a catalyst/support, Bioresour. Technol., 216 (2016), pp. 159-164, 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.011

Yin H., Yip A.C.K., A review on the production and purification of biomass-derived hydrogen using emerging membrane technologies, Catalysts, 7 (10) (2017), 10.3390/catal7100297

Zhang B., Zhang L., Yang Z., Yan Y., Pu G., Guo M., Hydrogen-rich gas production from wet biomass steam gasification with CaO/MgO, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy, 40 (29) (2015), pp. 8816-8823, 10.1016/j.ijhydene. 2015.05. 075

Zhang X., Zhou Y., Jia X., Feng Y., Dang Q., Multi-criteria optimization of a biomass-based hydrogen production system integrated with organic rankine cycle, Front. Energy Res., 8 (October) (2020), pp. 1-13, 10.3389/fenrg. 2020. 584215

Zhao H., Lu D., Wang J., Tu W., Wu D., Koh S.W., Gao P., Xu Z.J., Deng S., Zhou Y., You B., Li H., Raw biomass electroreforming coupled to green hydrogen generation, Nature Commun., 12 (1) (2021), pp. 1-10, 10.1038/ s41467- 021-22250-9

Zhao S., Li J., Chen C., Yan B., Tao J., Chen G., Interpretable machine learning for predicting and evaluating hydrogen production via supercritical water gasification of biomass, J. Clean. Prod., 316 (June) (2021), Article 128244, 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128244

Zhou L., Yang Z., Wei D., Zhang H., Lu W., Application of Fe based composite catalyst in biomass steam gasification to produce hydrogen rich gas, Front. Chem., 10 (April) (2022), pp. 1-11, 10.3389/fchem.2022.882787.